Perturbation analysis for the spectrum of an arbitrary matrix

Xuefeng Xu

Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing,

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

E-mail address: xuxuefeng@lsec.cc.ac.cn

arXiv:1703.02422v1 [math.NA] 7 Mar 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we present some new estimates for the spectrum of an arbitrary matrix after perturbation. One of our estimates improves the existing result established by Li and Chen [J. Comput. Math. 24 (2006) 141–148]. Several examples are given to illustrate the sharpness and effectiveness of our theoretical results.

Keywords: spectrum, arbitrary matrices, perturbation, Frobenius norm, spectral norm

AMS subject classifications: 15A18, 15A42, 65F15, 47A55

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$ and $\mathscr{U}_n$ be the set of all $m\times n$ matrices over complex field $\mathbb{C}$ and the set of all $n\times n$ unitary matrices, respectively. The identity matrix of order n is denoted by I_n. For a number $z\in\mathbb{C}$, let $\mathrm{Re}(z)$ and $|z|$ denote the real part and the modulus of z, respectively. For a matrix $X\in\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$, let X^*, $\mathrm{rank}(X)$, $\|X\|_2$, and $\|X\|_F$ denote the conjugate transpose, the rank, the spectral norm, and the Frobenius norm of X, respectively. For a matrix $M\in\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, its diagonal part, strictly lower triangular part, and strictly upper triangular part are denoted by $\mathcal{D}(M)$, $\mathcal{L}(M)$, and $\mathcal{U}(M)$, respectively.

Let $M\in\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$. We define a quantity $s(M)$ as

$$s(M):=\max_{U\in\mathscr{U}_n}\big\{k: U^*MU=\mathrm{diag}(M_1,\ldots,M_k),\ \text{each } M_i \text{ is square}\big\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Evidently, $1\le s(M)\le n$, and $s(M)=n$ if and only if M is normal (i.e., $MM^*=M^*M$). Furthermore, $s(U^*MU)=s(M)$ for any $U\in\mathscr{U}_n$. On the basis of the Schur's theorem (see, e.g., [1, Theorem 2.3.1]), it is not difficult to see that (1.1) is actually equivalent to the definition

$$s(M):=\max_{U\in\mathscr{U}_n}\big\{k: U^*MU=\mathrm{diag}(M_1,\ldots,M_k),\ \text{each } M_i \text{ is (square) upper triangular}\big\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\{\widetilde{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be two complex sequences. We define

$$\mathbb{D}_2:=\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^n\big|\widetilde{\lambda}_{\pi(i)}-\lambda_i\big|^2\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}}\quad\text{and}\quad \mathbb{D}_\infty:=\max_{1\le i\le n}\big|\widetilde{\lambda}_{\pi(i)}-\lambda_i\big|, \tag{1.3}$$

where π is any permutation of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. Clearly, $\mathbb{D}_2$ and $\mathbb{D}_\infty$ characterize the "distance" between $\{\widetilde{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ with respect to ℓ^2-norm and ℓ^∞-norm, respectively.

For two normal matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ and $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ with spectra $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{\widetilde{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^n$, respectively, the well-known Hoffman–Wielandt theorem [2] states that there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \|\widetilde{A} - A\|_F,$$

which reveals the strong global stability of the spectrum of a normal matrix. Nevertheless, the Hoffman–Wielandt theorem may fail if either A or $\widetilde{A}$ is not normal. Motivated by this limitation, Sun [3] proved that there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{n}\|\widetilde{A} - A\|_F, \tag{1.4}$$

provided that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is normal and $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is non-normal. In view of (1.4), Song [4] investigated the variation of the spectrum of an arbitrary matrix. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ and $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ be two arbitrary matrices with spectra $\lambda(A) = \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\lambda(\widetilde{A}) = \{\widetilde{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. It is proved by Song that there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{n}\big(1+\sqrt{n-p}\big)\max\Big\{\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F, \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{1}{m}}\Big\}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $E = \widetilde{A} - A$, $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is a nonsingular matrix such that $Q^{-1}AQ = \operatorname{diag}\big(J_1,\ldots,J_p\big)$, each $J_i \in \mathbb{C}^{m_i\times m_i}$ $(m_1+\cdots+m_p = n)$ is a Jordan block, and $m = \max\limits_{1\le i\le p} m_i$.

Assume that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is normal and $\widetilde{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is arbitrary, Li and Sun [5] proved that there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{n - s(\widetilde{A}) + 1}\|\widetilde{A} - A\|_F, \tag{1.6}$$

which improves (1.4). On account of (1.6), Li and Chen [6] restudied the variation of the spectrum of an arbitrary matrix and derived that there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{(n-\tilde{s}_1+1)\big(n-p+1+2\sqrt{n-p}\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F\big)}\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{1}{m}}, \text{ if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1, \tag{1.7a}$$

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{(n-\tilde{s}_2+1)\big(n-p+2\sqrt{n-p}+\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F\big)}\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge 1, \tag{1.7b}$$

where $\tilde{s}_1 = s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)$, $\tilde{s}_2 = s(Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q)$, $T = \operatorname{diag}\big(T_1,\ldots,T_p\big)$, $T_i = \operatorname{diag}\big(1,\varepsilon,\ldots,\varepsilon^{m_i-1}\big)$ with $\varepsilon = \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{1}{m}}$, and E, Q, m_i, m and p are the same as in (1.5). It is easy to see that the estimates (1.7a) and (1.7b) improve Song's result (1.5).

Recently, Xu and Zhang [7] established some novel characterizations of the spectrum of a perturbed normal matrix. Using these results, we get some new estimates for the spectrum of an arbitrary matrix after perturbation. And our estimate (3.11) below is sharper than (1.7a), (1.7b).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some useful characterizations of the spectrum of a perturbed normal matrix. In Section 3, we establish some new and improved estimates for the spectrum of an arbitrary matrix after perturbation. In order to illustrate our theoretical results, several examples are given in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries

Let $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$. We define a quantity $\delta(M)$ as

$$\delta(M) := \left(\|M\|_F^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(M)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathrm{tr}(M)$ denotes the trace of M. It is easy to see that $\delta(M)$ is well-defined because $\|M\|_F^2 \geq \|\mathcal{D}(M)\|_F^2 \geq \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(M)|^2$ for all $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$. Obviously, $\delta(M) \leq \|M\|_F$, and $\delta(M) = \|M\|_F$ if and only if $\mathrm{tr}(M) = 0$. We then define two subsets of $\mathscr{U}_n$, i.e, $\mathscr{U}_n(M)$ and $\mathscr{U}_n^s(M)$. The set $\mathscr{U}_n(M)$ is defined as

$$\mathscr{U}_n(M) := \big\{U \in \mathscr{U}_n : U^*MU \text{ is upper triangular}\big\}. \tag{2.2}$$

The symbol $\mathscr{U}_n^s(M)$ denotes the set of all $n \times n$ unitary matrices such that the block number k reaches the maximum $s(M)$ defined by (1.2).

We first prove a lemma, which gives an equivalent condition for $\delta(M) = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $M = (m_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$. Then $\delta(M) = 0$ if and only if M is a scalar matrix, i.e., $M = \mu I_n$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. If $M = \mu I_n$, then $\|M\|_F^2 = n|\mu|^2$ and $\mathrm{tr}(M) = n\mu$. Hence, $\delta(M)^2 = n|\mu|^2 - \frac{1}{n}n^2|\mu|^2 = 0$.

Conversely, if $\delta(M) = 0$, then $\|M\|_F^2 = \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(M)|^2$, that is, $\sum\limits_{i\neq j}|m_{ij}|^2 + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}|m_{ii}|^2 = \frac{1}{n}\Big|\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} m_{ii}\Big|^2$. Due to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}|m_{ii}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}|m_{ii}|\Big)^2 \geq \frac{1}{n}\Big|\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{ii}\Big|^2,$$

it follows that $m_{ij} = 0$ $(\forall i \neq j)$ and $m_{ii} = \mathrm{const}$ $(\forall i = 1, \ldots, n)$, that is, M is a scalar matrix. □

In order to describe the variation of the spectrum of an arbitrary matrix, we need the following estimates for the spectrum of a perturbed normal matrix (see [7, Corollary 3.7]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ be a normal matrix with spectrum $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and let $\widetilde{A} = A + E$ with spectrum $\{\widetilde{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^n$, where $E \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is an arbitrary perturbation. Then there exists a permutation π of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{D}_2 \leq \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + (n-1)\delta(E)^2},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \leq \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \sqrt{n}\ \delta(E)\|\Delta\|_F},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \leq \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + 2\delta(E)\|\Delta\|_F + \|\Delta\|_F^2},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \leq \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + 2\sqrt{n-1}\ \delta(E)\|\Delta\|_F - \|\Delta\|_F^2},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \leq \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \frac{n-1}{n}\delta(A)^2},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \leq \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + 2\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}\delta(A)\|\Delta\|_F - \|\Delta\|_F^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^*\widetilde{A}\widetilde{U})$ *with* $\widetilde{U} \in \mathscr{U}_n(\widetilde{A})$.

We next give another three estimates for the spectrum of a perturbed normal matrix, which involve the quantity $s(\widetilde{A})$ (see [7, Theorem 3.11]).

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.2. Then there is a permutation* π *of* $\{1,\dots,n\}$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \big(n - s(\widetilde{A})\big)\delta(E)^2},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \sqrt{n - s(\widetilde{A}) + 1}\ \delta(E)\|\Delta\|_F},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + 2\sqrt{n - s(\widetilde{A})}\ \delta(E)\|\Delta\|_F - \|\Delta\|_F^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^*\widetilde{A}\widetilde{U})$ *with* $\widetilde{U} \in \mathscr{U}_n^s(\widetilde{A})$.

Finally, we present several estimates for the spectrum of a perturbed Hermitian matrix (see [7, Theorem 4.3]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ *be a Hermitian matrix with spectrum* $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$, *and let* $\widetilde{A} = A+E$ *with spectrum* $\{\widetilde{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^n$, *where* $E \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ *is an arbitrary perturbation. Then there exists a permutation* π *of* $\{1,\dots,n\}$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \delta(E)^2},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \sqrt{2}\delta(E)\|\Delta\|_F},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + 2\delta(E)\|\Delta\|_F - \|\Delta\|_F^2},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta(A)^2},\\
&\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{\|E\|_F^2 + \sqrt{2}\delta(A)\|\Delta\|_F - \|\Delta\|_F^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^*\widetilde{A}\widetilde{U})$ *with* $\widetilde{U} \in \mathscr{U}_n(\widetilde{A})$.

3. Main results

For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, there exists a nonsingular matrix $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ such that

$$Q^{-1}AQ = \operatorname{diag}\big(J_1,\dots,J_p\big), \tag{3.1}$$

where each $J_i \in \mathbb{C}^{m_i\times m_i}$ $(m_1+\cdots+m_p = n)$ is a Jordan block with the form

$$J_i = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\
0 & \lambda_i & 1 & \cdots & 0\\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_i & 1\\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_i
\end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1,\dots,p.$$

For any $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, let

$$T = \mathrm{diag}\big(T_1, \ldots, T_p\big),$$

where $T_i = \mathrm{diag}\big(1, \varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon^{m_i-1}\big)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, p$. Then

$$T^{-1}(Q^{-1}AQ)T = \mathrm{diag}\big(T_1^{-1}J_1T_1, \ldots, T_p^{-1}J_pT_p\big) = \Lambda + \Omega, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}\big(\lambda_1 I_{m_1}, \ldots, \lambda_p I_{m_p}\big)$, $\Omega = \mathrm{diag}\big(\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_p\big)$, and

$$\Omega_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \varepsilon \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_i \times m_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, p.$$

Let $E \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ be an arbitrary perturbation, and let $\widetilde{A} = A + E$. It follows from (3.2) that

$$T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT - \Lambda = T^{-1}Q^{-1}EQT + \Omega,$$

which yields

$$\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT - \Lambda\|_F^2 = \|T^{-1}Q^{-1}EQT + \Omega\|_F^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Due to the fact that $\|X\|_F^2 = \mathrm{tr}(X^*X)$ for all $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$, it follows that

$$\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}EQT + \Omega\|_F^2 = \|T^{-1}Q^{-1}EQT\|_F^2 + \|\Omega\|_F^2 + 2\mathrm{Re}\big(\mathrm{tr}(\Omega^*T^{-1}Q^{-1}EQT)\big). \tag{3.4}$$

Let $Q^{-1}EQ$ be partitioned as the block form $Q^{-1}EQ = (\widehat{E}_{ij})_{p\times p}$, and let $\widehat{E}_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_i\times m_j}$. Then, $\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}EQT\|_F^2 = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{p}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{p}\|T_i^{-1}\widehat{E}_{ij}T_j\|_F^2$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}EQT\|_F^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p}\sum_{k=1}^{m_i}\sum_{\ell=1}^{m_j}\varepsilon^{2(\ell-k)}\big|(\widehat{E}_{ij})_{k,\ell}\big|^2 \\
&\leq \varepsilon^{2(1-m)}\sum_{i\neq j}\|\widehat{E}_{ij}\|_F^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{p}\Big(\|\mathcal{D}(\widehat{E}_{ii})\|_F^2 + \varepsilon^2\|\mathcal{U}(\widehat{E}_{ii})\|_F^2 + \varepsilon^{2(1-m_i)}\|\mathcal{L}(\widehat{E}_{ii})\|_F^2\Big) \\
&\leq \varepsilon^{2(1-m)}\Big(\sum_{i\neq j}\|\widehat{E}_{ij}\|_F^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{p}\|\mathcal{U}(\widehat{E}_{ii})\|_F^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{p}\|\mathcal{L}(\widehat{E}_{ii})\|_F^2\Big) + \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2 \\
&= \varepsilon^{2(1-m)}\Big(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2 - \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\Big) + \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $m = \max\limits_{1\leq i\leq p} m_i$. In addition, we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\big(\mathrm{tr}(\Omega^*T^{-1}Q^{-1}EQT)\big) = \mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=1}^{p}\mathrm{tr}(\Omega_i^*T_i^{-1}\widehat{E}_{ii}T_i) = \mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{j=2}^{m_i}\varepsilon(T_i^{-1}\widehat{E}_{ii}T_i)_{j-1,j}.$$

Because $(T_i^{-1}\widehat{E}_{ii}T_i)_{j-1,j} = \varepsilon(\widehat{E}_{ii})_{j-1,j}$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,p$ and $j = 2,\ldots,m_i$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Re}\big(\mathrm{tr}(\Omega^* T^{-1}Q^{-1}EQT)\big) &= \mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{j=2}^{m_i}\varepsilon^2(\widehat{E}_{ii})_{j-1,j} \\
&\le \varepsilon^2\sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{j=2}^{m_i}\big|(\widehat{E}_{ii})_{j-1,j}\big| \\
&\le \varepsilon^2\sqrt{n-p}\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{j=2}^{m_i}\big|(\widehat{E}_{ii})_{j-1,j}\big|^2\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \varepsilon^2\sqrt{n-p}\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\|\mathcal{U}(\widehat{E}_{ii})\|_F^2\bigg)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le \varepsilon^2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F. \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.3)–(3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT - \Lambda\|_F^2 \le{}& \varepsilon^{2(1-m)}\big(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2 - \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\big) + \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2 \\
&+ (n-p)\varepsilon^2 + 2\varepsilon^2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F =: f(\varepsilon). \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Due to $T = T(\varepsilon)$ (i.e., T depends on ε), it follows that $\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT - \Lambda\|_F^2$ is also a function of ε. Notice that $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2 - \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2 = 0$ if and only if $Q^{-1}EQ$ is diagonal. And the spectrum of $\widetilde{A}$ (equivalently, $Q^{-1}(A+E)Q$) is obvious when $Q^{-1}EQ$ is diagonal. Hence, we next restrict ourselves to the case that $Q^{-1}EQ$ is not diagonal (we call E a *nontrivial* perturbation). In other words, we focus on the case that $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2 - \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2 > 0$.

3.1. The complex eigenvalues case. In view of (3.7) and Lemma 2.2, we can obtain the following estimate for the spectrum of an arbitrary matrix after a nontrivial perturbation.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ be an arbitrary matrix with spectrum $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and let $\widetilde{A} = A + E$ with spectrum $\{\widetilde{\lambda}_i\}_{i=1}^n$, where $E \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is a nontrivial perturbation. Assume that A has decomposition* (3.1) *and $m = \max\limits_{1\le i\le p} m_i$. Then there is a permutation π of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_1+b_1}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1, \\ \sqrt{a_2+b_2}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge 1, \end{cases} \qquad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \bigg(n-p+1+2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F - \frac{\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2}{\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2}\bigg)\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{2}{m}} + \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2, \\
b_1 &= \min\bigg\{(n-1)\bigg(a_1 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\bigg),\ \sqrt{na_1 - |\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_1\|_F,\ 2\sqrt{a_1 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_1\|_F + \|\Delta_1\|_F^2, \\
&\qquad 2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{a_1 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_1\|_F - \|\Delta_1\|_F^2,\ \frac{n-1}{n}\delta(\Lambda)^2,\ 2\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}\delta(\Lambda)\|\Delta_1\|_F - \|\Delta_1\|_F^2\bigg\}, \\
\Delta_1 &= \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^* T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT\widetilde{U}) \text{ with } \widetilde{U} \in \mathscr{U}_n(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT) \text{ and } T = T\big(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{1}{m}}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_2 = n - p + 2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F + \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2,$$

$$b_2 = \min\left\{(n-1)\left(a_2 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right),\ \sqrt{na_2 - |\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_2\|_F,\ 2\sqrt{a_2 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_2\|_F + \|\Delta_2\|_F^2,\right.$$
$$\left. 2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{a_2 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_2\|_F - \|\Delta_2\|_F^2,\ \frac{n-1}{n}\delta(\Lambda)^2,\ 2\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}\delta(\Lambda)\|\Delta_2\|_F - \|\Delta_2\|_F^2\right\},$$

$\Delta_2 = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^* Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q\widetilde{U})$ *with* $\widetilde{U} \in \mathscr{U}_n(Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q)$.

Proof. If $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1$, set $\varepsilon = \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{1}{m}}$. By (3.7), we have

$$\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT - \Lambda\|_F^2 \le \left(n - p + 1 + 2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F - \frac{\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2}{\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2}\right)\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{2}{m}}$$
$$+ \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2.$$

If $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge 1$, set $\varepsilon = 1$ (hence $T = I_n$), we obtain from (3.7) that

$$\|Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q - \Lambda\|_F^2 \le n - p + 2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F + \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2.$$

From (2.1), we have

$$\delta(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT - \Lambda) = \left(\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT - \Lambda\|_F^2 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Notice that $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is a normal matrix and $T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT$ has the same spectrum with $\widetilde{A}$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$. Using Lemma 2.2, we can get the desired estimate. □

Based on the different constraints for $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F$, we can derive two analogous estimates (3.9) and (3.10).

Theorem 3.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Then there exists a permutation* π *of* $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_3 + b_3}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < \left(1 + \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \sqrt{a_2 + b_2}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge \left(1 + \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$a_3 = \left(n - p + 1 + 2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F\right)\left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2 - \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} + \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2,$$

$$b_3 = \min\left\{(n-1)\left(a_3 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right),\ \sqrt{na_3 - |\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_3\|_F,\ 2\sqrt{a_3 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_3\|_F + \|\Delta_3\|_F^2,\right.$$
$$\left. 2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{a_3 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_3\|_F - \|\Delta_3\|_F^2,\ \frac{n-1}{n}\delta(\Lambda)^2,\ 2\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}\delta(\Lambda)\|\Delta_3\|_F - \|\Delta_3\|_F^2\right\},$$

$\Delta_3 = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^* T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT\widetilde{U})$ *with* $\widetilde{U} \in \mathscr{U}_n(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)$ *and*

$$T = T\left(\left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2 - \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2m}}\right).$$

Proof. If $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < \left(1+\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, set $\varepsilon = \left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2 - \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2m}}$, we obtain from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT-\Lambda\|_F^2 \le{}& \left(n-p+1+2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F\right)\left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2-\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\\ &+\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2.\end{aligned}$$

If $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge \left(1+\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, set $\varepsilon = 1$, we get from (3.7) that

$$\|Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q-\Lambda\|_F^2 \le n-p+2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F+\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to Theorem 3.1. □

Theorem 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Then there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \begin{cases}\sqrt{a_4+b_4}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < \left(\frac{m-1}{m}\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2+\frac{1}{m}a_2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\\ \sqrt{a_2+b_2}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge \left(\frac{m-1}{m}\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2+\frac{1}{m}a_2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}a_4 ={}& \frac{m}{(m-1)^{1-\frac{1}{m}}}\left(n-p+2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F\right)^{1-\frac{1}{m}}\left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2-\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\\ &+\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2,\\ b_4 ={}& \min\left\{(n-1)\left(a_4-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right),\ \sqrt{na_4-|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_4\|_F,\ 2\sqrt{a_4-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_4\|_F+\|\Delta_4\|_F^2,\right.\\ &\left.2\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{a_4-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_4\|_F-\|\Delta_4\|_F^2,\ \frac{n-1}{n}\delta(\Lambda)^2,\ 2\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}\delta(\Lambda)\|\Delta_4\|_F-\|\Delta_4\|_F^2\right\},\end{aligned}$$

$\Delta_4 = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^*T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT\widetilde{U})$ *with* $\widetilde{U}\in\mathscr{U}_n(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)$ *and*

$$T = T\left(\left(\frac{(m-1)\left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2-\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)}{n-p+2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F}\right)^{\frac{1}{2m}}\right).$$

Proof. Note that A is diagonalizable if and only if $p=n$, or, equivalently, $m=1$. In this case, $T(\varepsilon)=I_n$ for any $0<\varepsilon\le 1$. Hence, using (3.7), we obtain

$$\|Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q-\Lambda\|_F^2 \le \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2.$$

If A cannot be diagonalized, then $p<n$ and $m>1$. Clearly, the derivative of $f(\varepsilon)$ is

$$f'(\varepsilon) = 2\varepsilon\left[n-p+2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F-\varepsilon^{-2m}(m-1)\left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2-\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)\right].$$

Setting $f'(\varepsilon_0)=0$, we have

$$\varepsilon_0 = \left(\frac{(m-1)\left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2-\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)}{n-p+2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F}\right)^{\frac{1}{2m}}.$$

Let $\varepsilon_* = \min\{\varepsilon_0, 1\}$. It is easy to see that the minimum of $f(\varepsilon)$ on the interval $(0, 1]$ is $f(\varepsilon_*)$. Direct computation yields

$$f(\varepsilon_0) = \frac{m}{(m-1)^{1-\frac{1}{m}}}\big(n-p+2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F\big)^{1-\frac{1}{m}}\left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2 - \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} + \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2,$$

If $\varepsilon_0 < 1$, set $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$, we get from (3.7) that $\|T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT - \Lambda\|_F^2 \le f(\varepsilon_0)$, where $T = T(\varepsilon_0)$. If $\varepsilon_0 \ge 1$, set $\varepsilon = 1$, we obtain from (3.7) that $\|Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q - \Lambda\|_F^2 \le f(1) = a_2$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can derive the estimate (3.10). □

Remark 3.4. It is worth mentioning that the estimate (3.10) has involved the case that A is diagonalizable. In fact, if A is diagonalizable, then the second condition of (3.10) is satisfied, $T = I_n$, and a_2 reduces to $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2$.

Similarly, by Lemma 2.3, we can derive another three estimates for $\mathbb{D}_2$, which are related to the quantity $s(\cdot)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Then there exists a permutation π of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_1 + c_1}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1, \\ \sqrt{a_2 + c_2}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$c_1 = \min\Bigg\{\big(n - s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)\big)\left(a_1 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right), \sqrt{\big(n - s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT) + 1\big)\left(a_1 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right)}\|\Delta_1^s\|_F, 2\sqrt{\big(n - s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)\big)\left(a_1 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right)}\|\Delta_1^s\|_F - \|\Delta_1^s\|_F^2\Bigg\},$$

$$T = T\big(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{1}{m}}\big),\ \Delta_1^s = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^*T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT\widetilde{U}) \text{ with } \widetilde{U} \in \mathscr{U}_n^s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT),$$

and

$$c_2 = \min\Bigg\{\big(n - s(Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q)\big)\left(a_2 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right), \sqrt{\big(n - s(Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q) + 1\big)\left(a_2 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right)}\|\Delta_2^s\|_F, 2\sqrt{\big(n - s(Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q)\big)\left(a_2 - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\right)}\|\Delta_2^s\|_F - \|\Delta_2^s\|_F^2\Bigg\},$$

$\Delta_2^s = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^*Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q\widetilde{U})$ *with* $\widetilde{U} \in \mathscr{U}_n^s(Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q)$.

Theorem 3.6. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Then there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_3+c_3}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < \big(1+\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \sqrt{a_2+c_2}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge \big(1+\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_3 = \min\Bigg\{ & \big(n-s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)\big)\bigg(a_3-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\bigg), \\ & \sqrt{\big(n-s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)+1\big)\bigg(a_3-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\bigg)}\|\Delta_3^s\|_F, \\ & 2\sqrt{\big(n-s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)\big)\bigg(a_3-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\bigg)}\|\Delta_3^s\|_F-\|\Delta_3^s\|_F^2\Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

$T = T\big(\big(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2-\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2m}}\big)$, $\Delta_3^s = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^*T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT\widetilde{U})$ *with* $\widetilde{U}\in\mathscr{U}_n^s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)$.

Theorem 3.7. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Then there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_4+c_4}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < \Big(\frac{m-1}{m}\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2+\frac{1}{m}a_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \sqrt{a_2+c_2}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge \Big(\frac{m-1}{m}\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2+\frac{1}{m}a_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 = \min\Bigg\{ & \big(n-s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)\big)\bigg(a_4-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\bigg), \\ & \sqrt{\big(n-s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)+1\big)\bigg(a_4-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\bigg)}\|\Delta_4^s\|_F, \\ & 2\sqrt{\big(n-s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)\big)\bigg(a_4-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\bigg)}\|\Delta_4^s\|_F-\|\Delta_4^s\|_F^2\Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

$T = T\left(\left(\dfrac{(m-1)\left(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2-\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\right)}{n-p+2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F}\right)^{\frac{1}{2m}}\right)$, $\Delta_4^s = \mathcal{U}(\widetilde{U}^*T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT\widetilde{U})$ *with* $\widetilde{U}\in\mathscr{U}_n^s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)$.

Remark 3.8. Now, we interpret that our estimate (3.11) is sharper than (1.7a) and (1.7b).

If $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F<1$, the estimate (3.11) reads $\mathbb{D}_2\le\sqrt{a_1+c_1}$. Since

$$c_1 \le \big(n-s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)\big)\bigg(a_1-\frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2\bigg),$$

we have

$$a_1 + c_1 \le \big(n - s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT) + 1\big)a_1 - \frac{n - s(T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT)}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2, \tag{3.14}$$

where $T = T\big(\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{1}{m}}\big)$. Because $m \ge 1$ and $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1$, it follows that

$$a_1 = \left(n - p + 1 + 2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F - \frac{\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2}{\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2}\right)\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{2}{m}} + \|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2$$

satisfies

$$a_1 \le \big(n - p + 1 + 2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F\big)\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^{\frac{2}{m}}. \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.14) and (3.15), we conclude that the first statement of (3.11) is sharper than (1.7a).

If $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge 1$, the estimate (3.11) reads $\mathbb{D}_2 \le \sqrt{a_2 + c_2}$. Analogously, we have

$$a_2 + c_2 \le \big(n - s(Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q) + 1\big)a_2 - \frac{n - s(Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}Q)}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2. \tag{3.16}$$

Due to

$$a_2 = n - p + 2\sqrt{n-p}\|\mathcal{U}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F + \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F^2$$

and $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge 1$, it follows that

$$a_2 \le \big(n - p + 2\sqrt{n-p} + \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F\big)\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F. \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.16) and (3.17), we deduce that the second statement of (3.11) is sharper than (1.7b).

3.2. The real eigenvalues case. In this subsection, we consider a special case that the eigenvalues of A are all real. In this case, Λ is a Hermitian matrix. Using (3.7) and Lemma 2.4, we can derive some more accurate estimates for the spectrum of $\widetilde{A}$.

For simplicity, we define

$$\begin{aligned} d_i := \min\Big\{ & a_i - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2,\ \sqrt{2}\sqrt{a_i - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_i\|_F,\ 2\sqrt{a_i - \frac{1}{n}|\mathrm{tr}(E)|^2}\|\Delta_i\|_F - \|\Delta_i\|_F^2, \\ & \frac{1}{2}\delta(\Lambda)^2,\ \sqrt{2}\delta(\Lambda)\|\Delta_i\|_F - \|\Delta_i\|_F^2\Big\}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 4, \end{aligned}$$

where a_i and Δ_i are defined as in subsection 3.1. Then we have the following estimates:

Theorem 3.9. *Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are all real numbers. Then there exists a permutation π of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_1 + d_1}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1, \\ \sqrt{a_2 + d_2}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

Theorem 3.10. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.9. Then there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_3+d_3}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < \big(1+\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \sqrt{a_2+d_2}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge \big(1+\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

Theorem 3.11. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.9. Then there exists a permutation π of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{D}_2 \le \begin{cases} \sqrt{a_4+d_4}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < \Big(\frac{m-1}{m}\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2 + \frac{1}{m}a_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \sqrt{a_2+d_2}, & \text{if } \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \ge \Big(\frac{m-1}{m}\|\mathcal{D}(Q^{-1}EQ)\|_F^2 + \frac{1}{m}a_2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

Remark 3.12. Based on the facts that

$$\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \le \sqrt{\operatorname{rank}(E)}\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_2, \quad \|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \le \kappa_2(Q)\|E\|_F, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{D}_\infty \le \mathbb{D}_2,$$

we can obtain some deductive estimates for $\mathbb{D}_2$ and the corresponding estimates for $\mathbb{D}_\infty$. Here, $\kappa_2(Q) := \|Q^{-1}\|_2\|Q\|_2$ denotes the condition number of Q with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$.

4. Illustrative examples

In this section, we give several examples to illustrate the sharpness and effectiveness of our results established in Section 3.

Example 4.1. Let α_0 be an arbitrary *complex* number. Set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = I_4.$$

Evidently, $n=4$, $p=2$, and $m=3$. Because $\Lambda = \alpha_0 I_4$, by Lemma 2.1, we have $\delta(\Lambda)=0$. In addition, we have $T = \operatorname{diag}\big(1,\varepsilon,\varepsilon^2,1\big)$.

Case 4.1.1: $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1$. Let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{10} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{20} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{9}{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F = \frac{19}{20} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT = T^{-1}(A+E)T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 - \frac{3}{10} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{20} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 - \frac{9}{10} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, for any permutation π of $\{1,\dots,4\}$, we have $\mathbb{D}_2 = \frac{3}{\sqrt{10}} \approx 0.948683298050514$. Straightforward computations yield $a_1 = \frac{723}{361}\big(\frac{19}{20}\big)^{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{9}{10}$, $a_2 = \frac{1161}{400}$, $a_3 = a_4 = \frac{3}{400^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{9}{10}$, $b_1 = -2\big(\frac{19}{20}\big)^{\frac{2}{3}} - \frac{1}{400}$, $b_2 = -\frac{801}{400}$, and $b_3 = b_4 = -\frac{2}{400^{\frac{1}{3}}} - \frac{1}{400}$. Using (3.8)–(3.10), we get the following results:

Estimates	Upper bounds for $\mathbb{D}_2$	Exact values	Approximate values
(3.8)	$\sqrt{a_1 + b_1}$	$\big(\frac{1}{361}\big(\frac{19}{20}\big)^{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{359}{400}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	0.948776559531427
(3.9)	$\sqrt{a_3 + b_3}$	$\big(400^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{359}{400}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	1.016474732017351
(3.10)	$\sqrt{a_4 + b_4}$	$\big(400^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{359}{400}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	1.016474732017351

Table 1: The eigenvalues of A are complex and $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1$

Case 4.1.2: $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \geq 1$. Let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{20} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F = \frac{21}{20} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT = T^{-1}(A+E)T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{20} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_0 + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, for any permutation π of $\{1,\dots,4\}$, we have $\mathbb{D}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{11}{10}} \approx 1.048808848170152$. Direct computations yield $a_2 = \frac{1241}{400}$, $a_3 = a_4 = \frac{3}{400^{\frac{1}{3}}} + \frac{11}{10}$, $b_2 = -\frac{801}{400}$, and $b_3 = b_4 = -\frac{2}{400^{\frac{1}{3}}} - \frac{1}{400}$. Using (3.8)–(3.10), we obtain the following results:

Estimates	Upper bounds for $\mathbb{D}_2$	Exact values	Approximate values
(3.8)	$\sqrt{a_2 + b_2}$	$\big(\frac{11}{10}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbb{D}_2$	1.048808848170152
(3.9)	$\sqrt{a_3 + b_3}$	$\big(400^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{439}{400}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	1.110504786495648
(3.10)	$\sqrt{a_4 + b_4}$	$\big(400^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{439}{400}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	1.110504786495648

Table 2: The eigenvalues of A are complex and $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \geq 1$

Example 4.2. Let β_0 be an arbitrary *real* number. Set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = I_4.$$

Obviously, $n = 4$, $p = 3$, and $m = 2$. Due to $\Lambda = \beta_0 I_4$, using Lemma 2.1, we have $\delta(\Lambda) = 0$. In addition, $T = \mathrm{diag}\big(1, \varepsilon, 1, 1\big)$.

Case 4.2.1: $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1$. Let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{10} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{4}{5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F = \frac{9}{10} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT = T^{-1}(A+E)T = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_0 - \frac{2}{5} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{10} & 0 & 0 & \beta_0 - \frac{4}{5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, for any permutation π of $\{1, \ldots, 4\}$, it holds that $\mathbb{D}_2 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \approx 0.894427190999916$. Direct computations give $a_1 = \frac{77}{45}$, $a_2 = \frac{181}{100}$, $a_3 = a_4 = 1$, $d_1 = -\frac{91}{100}$, $d_2 = -\frac{101}{100}$, and $d_3 = d_4 = -\frac{11}{100}$. By (3.18)–(3.20), we have the following results:

Estimates	Upper bounds for $\mathbb{D}_2$	Exact values	Approximate values
(3.18)	$\sqrt{a_1 + d_1}$	$\big(\frac{721}{900}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	0.895048105473170
(3.19)	$\sqrt{a_3 + d_3}$	$\big(\frac{89}{100}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	0.943398113205660
(3.20)	$\sqrt{a_4 + d_4}$	$\big(\frac{89}{100}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	0.943398113205660

Table 3: The eigenvalues of A are all real and $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F < 1$

Case 4.2.2: $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \geq 1$. Let

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{8}{9} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F = \frac{10}{9} > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}Q^{-1}\widetilde{A}QT = T^{-1}(A+E)T = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \beta_0 + \frac{8}{9} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, for any permutation π of $\{1, \ldots, 4\}$, it holds that $\mathbb{D}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{91}}{9} \approx 1.059932446018828$. Direct computations give $a_2 = \frac{181}{81}$, $a_3 = a_4 = \frac{145}{81}$, $d_2 = -\frac{10}{9}$, and $d_3 = d_4 = -\frac{4}{9}$. By (3.18)–(3.20), we derive the following results:

Estimates	Upper bounds for $\mathbb{D}_2$	Exact values	Approximate values
(3.18)	$\sqrt{a_2+d_2}$	$\left(\frac{91}{81}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\mathbb{D}_2$	1.059932446018828
(3.19)	$\sqrt{a_3+d_3}$	$\left(\frac{109}{81}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	1.160034056545617
(3.20)	$\sqrt{a_4+d_4}$	$\left(\frac{109}{81}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	1.160034056545617

Table 4: The eigenvalues of A are all real and $\|Q^{-1}EQ\|_F \geq 1$

According to the results presented in Tables 1–4, we can see that our estimates are effective and tight.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor Chen-Song Zhang for his helpful suggestions. This work was supported partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant 91430215 and 91530323.

References

[1] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.

[2] A.J. Hoffman, H.W. Wielandt, The variation of the spectrum of a normal matrix, Duke Math. J. 20 (1953) 37–39.

[3] J.-G. Sun, On the variation of the spectrum of a normal matrix, Linear Algebra Appl. 246 (1996) 215–223.

[4] Y. Song, A note on the variation of the spectrum of an arbitrary matrix, Linear Algebra Appl. 342 (2002) 41–46.

[5] W. Li, W. Sun, The perturbation bounds for eigenvalues of normal matrices, Numer. Linear Algebra Appl. 12 (2005) 89–94.

[6] W. Li, J.-X. Chen, The eigenvalue perturbation bound for arbitrary matrices, J. Comput. Math. 24 (2006) 141–148.

[7] X. Xu, C.-S. Zhang, Characterizations of the spectrum of a perturbed normal matrix, preprint, arXiv: 1612.05759v1, 2016.